



Shropshire Council  
Legal and Democratic Services  
Shirehall  
Abbey Foregate  
Shrewsbury  
SY2 6ND

**Committee:  
Schools Forum**

**Date: Wednesday, 15 November 2017**

**Time: 8.30 am**

**Venue: Shrewsbury Training and Development Centre, Racecourse Crescent,  
Monkmoor, Shrewsbury, SY2 5BP**

You are requested to attend the above meeting.  
The Agenda is attached

Claire Porter  
Head of Legal and Democratic Services (Monitoring Officer)

**Members of Schools Forum**

Bill Dowell (Chair)  
Phil Adams  
Michael Barrett  
Christine Hargest  
John Hitchings  
Sandra Holloway  
Colin Hopkins  
Pete Johnstone

Alan Parkhurst  
Geoff Pettengell  
Kay Redknap  
Geoff Renwick  
Mark Rogers  
Philip Sell  
Joy Tetsill  
Ruth Thomas

Your Committee Officer is:

**Philip Wilson** Service Manager Business Support People

Tel: 01743 254344

Email: [phil.wilson@shropshire.gov.uk](mailto:phil.wilson@shropshire.gov.uk)

# AGENDA

- 1 **Apologies**
- 2 **Minutes and Matters Arising - 14 September 2017 (Paper A)** (Pages 1 - 4)
- 3 **Minutes and Matters Arising - 28 September 2017 (Paper B)** (Pages 5 - 6)
- 4 **Arrangements for School Funding in 2018 - 19 and 2019 - 20 - Consultation Outcome (Paper C) - Gwyneth Evans** (Pages 7 - 16)
- 5 **Dedicated Schools Grant Monitoring (Paper D) - Stephen Waters/Julia Dean (Paper D)**
- 6 **Communications**
- 7 **Future Meetings**

Please diary:

7 December 2017	8.30 am	STDC, Monkmoor
18 January 2017	8.30 am	STDC, Monkmoor
1 February 2017 (provisional)	8.30 am	STDC, Monkmoor
22 March 2017	8.30 am	STDC, Monkmoor
7 June 2017	8.30 am	STDC, Monkmoor



**Schools Forum**  
**Date:** 2 November 2017  
**Time:** 8.30 am  
**Venue:** STDC, Monkmoor, Shrewsbury

Item/Paper  
**A**  
Public

## MINUTES OF SCHOOLS FORUM HELD ON 14 SEPTEMBER 2017

### Present

#### School Forum Members

Bill Dowell (Chair)  
Phil Adams – Academy Headteacher  
John Eglin – Primary Headteacher  
Meryl Green – Post 16 Representative  
John Hitchings – SSGC  
Sandra Holloway – Primary Governor  
Marilyn Hunt – Primary Headteacher  
Shelly Hurdley – Early Years Representative  
Pete Johnstone – Secondary Headteacher  
Alan Parkhurst – Primary Headteacher  
Geoff Pettengell – Academy Headteacher  
Michael Revell – Primary Governor  
Mark Rogers – Primary Headteacher  
Philip Sell – Hereford Diocese

#### Members

Cllr Clare Aspinall  
Cllr Nick Bardsley

#### Officers

Julia Dean  
Gwyneth Evans  
Chris Mathews  
Jo Jones  
Neville Ward  
Stephen Waters  
Gareth Proffitt  
Phil Wilson  
Helen Woodbridge (Minutes)

#### Observers

Roger Evans  
Laura Perkins

### 1. Apologies

Apologies had been received from Michael Barratt, Karen Bradshaw, Jean Evanson, Sabrina Hobbs and Colin Hopkins.

### 2. Election of Chair

Bill Dowell was unanimously re-elected as Chair.  
John Hitchings was unanimously re-elected as Vice Chair.

### 3. Minutes and Matters Arising (Paper A)

The chair welcomed everyone to the meeting.

The minutes were accepted as a true record.

Julia Dean was asked about the SEN Guide. This has not yet been produced but is being worked on and information will be circulated with Forum papers.

Gwyneth Evans confirmed that all 2016-17 Schools Financial Value Standard returns for maintained schools had been received by the LA.

### 4. National Funding Formula

Gwyneth Evans went through her paper advising that further detail is expected any time now.

### ACTION

JD

It was agreed that Schools Forum (rather than a working group) will consider this issue prior to the Lord Hill briefing on 11 October 2017. An extraordinary Schools Forum meeting was therefore arranged for 28 September.

Mark Rogers suggested that it may be more straightforward for Schools Forum this time if there could be a straight move to the interim NFF.

Phil Wilson cautioned that there will be a need for an MFG type top-up applied in the two interim years. He would be pleased if the extra £1.3 billion went into AWPU.

Peter Johnstone warned of the extra financial burden with the removal of the public sector pay cap.

John Eglin spoke of the danger of confusing the situation if the Shropshire formula was used.

Phil Adams and the chair both highlighted the underlying issue of sustainability.

**5. Dedicated Schools Grant 2017-18 Update and Final 2016-17 Early Years Block Adjustment**

Gwyneth Evans went through the paper which was provided for information.

**6. High Needs Funding Audit**

Phil Wilson went through the paper.

Schools Forum noted the appointment of an independent specialist to progress this issue. It was agreed that an update will be provided at the November meeting.

An increase in high needs funding the specialist schools would have a knock-on effect to the remaining high needs block.

Schools Forum were keen to ensure that the person completing the review is aware of the wider consequences.

PW

**7. Secondary School Deficit (Confidential)**

Phil Wilson went through the paper.

The chair advised that this is a very different situation to the previous deficit issue.

The school is well managed, is a good school and is on top of its finances.

Chris Mathews added that if school was to close there would be a substantial increase in transport costs (which is funded from revenue grant).

The chair suggested that this issue had been known about but parked – resolution is needed.

Phil Adams agreed as if nothing is done it may start a spiral of decline.

Mark Rogers was concerned that the extra cost would be to a limited number of LA maintained schools.

Gwyneth Evans advised that this need not be the case – it could be dealt with from the centrally retained DSG with the permission of the Secretary of State.

John Hitchings spoke of the need for the full picture to be set out.

Several members of Schools Forum volunteered to form a working group with Phil Wilson to consider the issue: Clare Aspinall, Phil Adams, Alan Parkhurst and Sandra Holloway. Roger Evans agreed to identify a local councillor to contribute to this work.

PW

RE

**8. Dedicated Schools Grant Monitoring**

Stephen Waters presented the paper which was for information only.

Nick Bardsley commented on the positive moves (both financial and logistical) to reduce transportation of young children re SEN.

John Eglin asked about reduction in EHCPs. Julia Dean advised that Shropshire has a higher number of EHCPs and lower number of pupils receiving SEN support.

There is some blurring between specialist and targeted support. A redistribution of funding is the aim with earlier interventions preventing greater cost at a later stage.

**9. Communications**

Schools Forum were reminded of the Lord Hill event planned for 11 October 2017. Nick Bardsley confirmed that the MPs' briefing events continue. The chair advised that Radio Shropshire will want information once the NFF detail becomes available. Nick Bardsley and Gareth Proffitt are prepared for this. Phil Wilson advised that a local MP had raised a question about school funding. It was agreed that when NFF information comes through it will be sent out to Schools Forum members.

**NB/GP**

**PW**

**The meeting closed at 10.05 am.**

**Future meetings (please diary):**

28 September 2017 (extra)	08.30	STDC, Monkmoor
2 November 2017	08.30	STDC, Monkmoor
7 December 2017	08.30	STDC, Monkmoor
18 January 2018	08.30	STDC, Monkmoor
1 February 2018 (provisional)	08.30	STDC, Monkmoor
22 March 2018	08.30	STDC, Monkmoor
7 June 2018	08.30	STDC, Monkmoor

**This page is intentionally left blank**



**Schools Forum**  
**Date:** 28 September 2017  
**Time:** 8.30 am  
**Venue:** STDC, Monkmoor, Shrewsbury

Item/Paper  
**B**  
Public

## NOTES OF ADDITIONAL SCHOOLS FORUM HELD ON 28 SEPTEMBER 2017

### Present

#### School Forum Members

Bill Dowell (Chair)  
Phil Adams – Academy Headteacher  
John Eglin – Primary Headteacher  
Geoff Renwick – Academy Headteacher  
John Hitchings – SSGC  
Sandra Holloway – Primary Governor  
Marilyn Hunt – Primary Headteacher  
Shelly Hurdley – Early Years Representative  
Pete Johnstone – Secondary Headteacher  
Alan Parkhurst – Primary Headteacher  
Jean Evanson – Association of Secretaries  
Mark Rogers – Primary Headteacher

#### Members

Cllr Clare Aspinall

#### Officers

Gwyneth Evans  
Chris Mathews  
Jo Jones

Stephen Waters  
Gareth Proffitt  
Phil Wilson

#### Observers

Roger Evans  
Hannah Fraser

### 1. Apologies

Apologies had been received from Michael Barratt, Geoff Pettengell, Helen Woodbridge and Nick Bardsley.

### 2. National Funding Formula

Phil Wilson went through Paper A detailing the headlines from the Department of Education's latest documents, highlighting the notional allocation for 2018-19 and illustrative allocation for 2019-20 and the impact on Shropshire illustrated in Appendices B, C and D.

PW explained that the recommendation from officers is to move to the full implementation of the NFF from April 2018 and not use local funding formula. PW explained there is little merit in seeking to apply the local formula which may benefit a particular group of schools but impact negatively on the budget shares for other schools.

### 3. Gwyneth Evans talked through the impact of alternative options for distributing funding through the current local funding formula.

GE explained we could use the extra funding and put it through the AWPU or we could use it to increase the lump sum figure in all schools. The main limitation of these approaches is the challenge of delivering the minimum 0.5% uplift per pupil

### ACTION

funding increases that the NFF delivers. The options would result in there being winners and losers in funding terms when compared to 2017-18 funding levels.

**Recommendation** – Schools Forum members unanimously voted to recommend to Shropshire schools the distribution of funding in 2018-19 and 2019-20 in line with the transitional National Funding Formula.

**Future meetings (please diary):**

15 November 2017	08.30	STDC, Monkmoor
7 December 2017	08.30	STDC, Monkmoor
18 January 2018	08.30	STDC, Monkmoor
1 February 2018 (provisional)	08.30	STDC, Monkmoor
22 March 2018	08.30	STDC, Monkmoor
7 June 2018	08.30	STDC, Monkmoor





## Schools Forum

Date: 15 November 2017

Time: 8:30 a.m.

Venue: Shrewsbury  
Training and Development  
Centre

Paper

# C

Public

## Arrangements for School Funding in 2018-19 and 2019-20 Consultation Outcome

**Responsible Officer** Gwyneth Evans

e-mail: [gwyneth.evans@shropshire.gov.uk](mailto:gwyneth.evans@shropshire.gov.uk) Tel: 01743 254865 Fax: 01743 254538

### Summary

Following the Secretary of State for Education's September announcement on school funding arrangements in 2018-19 and 2019-20, Shropshire Schools Forum agreed on 28 September 2017 to recommend to Shropshire schools, as part of the consultation process, the distribution of funding to individual schools in 2018-19 and 2019-20 in line with the transitional National Funding Formula (NFF).

The consultation with Shropshire schools was launched at the briefing session held at the Lord Hill Hotel in Shrewsbury on 11 October 2017 for Shropshire headteachers and chairs of governors.

The consultation period ended on Friday 10 November 2017. This report collates details of the responses received from Shropshire schools for consideration by Shropshire Schools Forum.

### Recommendation

Schools Forum is recommended to consider the detail of the consultation responses received from Shropshire schools, in relation to the basis for distributing school funding to individual Shropshire schools in 2018-19 and 2019-20, and to agree a recommendation to Shropshire Council's Cabinet for approval.

## REPORT

### Background

1. The Government announced in July, and provided further detail in September, the introduction of a National Funding Formula (NFF) from April 2018. The NFF will be used to allocate Schools Block funding to local authorities from April 2018 but for the first two years of implementation, 2018-19 and 2019-20, local authorities will decide on the basis for distributing the funding to schools and academies in its area through a local formula, following consultation with schools.

2. The NFF will be phased in by the Government over at least the next two years, 2018-19 and 2019-20, by the inclusion of a funding floor and gains cap. There has been no announcement over the levels of funding provided through the NFF beyond 2019-20 as 2020-21 is within the next Comprehensive Spending Review period.
3. Schools Forum considered the arrangements for school funding for 2018-19 and 2019-20 at a meeting on 28 September and discussed the options available to the local authority for distributing funding to Shropshire schools from April 2018. The options considered included distributing funding in line with the NFF transitional allocations in 2018-19 and 2019-20 through the local funding formula or continuing with the current local funding formula weightings but allocating the additional funding (notionally £2.6m in 2018-19 and a further £1.0m in 2019-20 based on October 2016 census data) to schools by increasing the current factor weightings such as age weighted pupil unit (AWPU), lump sum etc.
4. After considering the impact on individual schools, Schools Forum agreed to recommend to Shropshire schools, through the consultation process, the distribution of funding to schools from April 2018 in line with the transitional NFF allocations. It was concluded that other options would result in winners and losers, could not deliver the guaranteed uplift in per pupil funding for all schools and would not provide a smooth transition to the NFF when fully implemented.
5. Actual allocations for 2018-19 will be based on October 2017 school census data and actual allocations for 2019-20 will be based on October 2018 school census data.

### **Consultation with Shropshire Schools**

6. The consultation with the wider Shropshire schools community was launched at a briefing session held at the Lord Hill Hotel on 11 October 2017 for all Shropshire headteachers and chairs of governors attended by 138 people representing 100 Shropshire schools (67%).
7. At the briefing event schools were presented with the notional 2018-19 and 2019-20 funding allocations under the transitional NFF (based on October 2016 census data). A request was made, from a number of school representatives, for additional modelling information to be made available demonstrating the impact on individual schools from alternative models for distributing funding to schools through the current local funding formula.
8. Following the briefing session two further options for distributing funding to schools were provided and the consultation deadline extended until 10 November to allow schools time to fully consider the impact on Shropshire schools of the various options. Clearly there are many options and combinations of options that could be modelled but the two further options provided for consideration, chosen for their simplicity and transparency, were:
  - Model A – distributes funding in line with the formula factor values in Shropshire's current (2017-18) local funding formula and distributes the additional £2.6m notional funding on the basis of a flat rate increase of £80.80 to the basic per pupil element (AWPU) across all schools

- Model B – distributes funding in line with the formula factor values in Shropshire’s current (2017-18) local funding formula and distributes the additional £2.6m notional funding on the basis of a flat rate increase of £19,325 to the lump sum across all schools.

9. The additional modelling information provided to schools is attached as Appendix A to this report.

10. The consultation period ended on 10 November. In total, responses were received from 62 schools, 41% of Shropshire schools. A schedule of the full responses received from individual schools is attached as Appendix B to this report. The table below summarises the responses received.

**Table - Summary of Consultation Responses Received**

Option	Description	No of schools in favour	No of pupils in schools in favour
In line with transitional NFF	Transitional NFF formula factors and weightings	55	16,345
Model A	Current local funding formula with increase to AWPU across all schools	3	1,016
Model B	Current local funding formula with flat rate increase to lump sum across all schools	2	327

11. The majority of responses received, in terms of number of schools and number of pupils in the schools, support the option to allocate funding to schools from April 2018 in line with the transitional NFF allocations.

12. Information provided at a recent national school funding conference confirmed that local authorities deciding to allocate funding from April 2018 in line with the transitional NFF would have to apply for a disapplication to current finance regulations to enable this to happen. A letter received from the Deputy Director of the Department for Education’s Funding Policy Unit confirmed that he anticipates any such requests would be agreed.

**This page is intentionally left blank**

## Funding Arrangements 2018-19 Consultation – Narrative in Support of Modelling Options Spreadsheet

Details of the final National Funding Formula (NFF) arrangements for 2018-19 onwards, as announced by the Secretary of State in September, were presented to Headteachers and Chairs of Governors at a briefing event for Shropshire maintained schools and academies on Wednesday 11 October. At the same time a consultation was launched on the basis for distributing funding to Shropshire schools through the funding formula in 2018-19. Local authorities have the ability to fund schools through a local funding formula in 2018-19 and 2019-20 following consultation with schools.

The recommendation presented at the meeting was the distribution to individual schools in 2018-19 in line with the notional 2018-19 NFF funding provided by the DfE. This option ensures a per pupil increase to all schools compared to 2017-18 baseline funding levels and is a transitional step towards the full NFF allocations per school once fully implemented.

At the meeting a request was made for details of alternative options for distributing the funding through the local funding formula. The attached spreadsheet provides comparative figures for two alternative models:

- Model A distributes funding in line with the formula factor values in Shropshire's current (2017-18) local funding formula and distributes the additional £2.6m notional funding received into the local authority under the transitional NFF formula in 2018-19 on the basis of a flat rate increase of £80.80 to the basic per pupil element (AWPU) across all schools.
- Model B distributes funding in line with the formula factor values in Shropshire's current (2017-18) local funding formula and distributes the additional £2.6m notional funding received into the local authority under the transitional NFF formula in 2018-19 on the basis of a flat rate increase of £19,325 to the lump sum across all schools. This would take the primary school lump sum from £59,500 to £78,825 and the secondary school lump sum from £111,000 to £130,325 within the local formula.

The 2017-18 Baseline and Notional NFF 2018-19 columns were provided at the Lord Hill event. The notional NFF allocations in 2018-19 provide overall percentage changes to the 2017-18 baseline allocations of between +0.4% and +6.7% and provide a 'smooth transition to the national funding formula when fully implemented.

Model A – using current local funding formula and distributing the notional additional funding in 2018-19 by increasing the basic per pupil element – provide overall percentage changes to the 2017-18 baseline allocations of between -1.3% and +2.2%.

Model B – using current local funding formula and distributing the notional additional funding in 2018-19 by increasing the lump sum element – provides overall percentage changes to 2017-18 baseline allocations of between -1.2% and +9.4%.

The Government is committed to implementing a 'hard' national funding formula from April 2020 where all schools will be funded under the NFF with no local flexibility. With this in mind, the final columns 'Variations to Full NFF' show the variations between the illustrative NFF if fully implemented (beyond 2019-20) and the 2018-19 models. The red figures highlight the schools where funding in 2018-19 would be in excess of funding delivered under the full NFF. These schools would see a potential reduction to their funding at the point the NFF is fully implemented and therefore models A and B do not provide the smooth transition for all schools that moving to the notional NFF in 2018-19 aims to offer.

In light of the additional modelling information provided we are **extending the funding consultation deadline to Friday 10<sup>th</sup> November**. The Schools Forum meeting will also be rescheduled to a later date to allow full consideration of the consultation responses. If you have already submitted a consultation response but want to amend it in light of this additional modelling information please send in another response and your original submission will be amended.

As a reminder, consultation responses should be emailed to [schoolfunding@shropshire.gov.uk](mailto:schoolfunding@shropshire.gov.uk)







School Number	School Name	NOR (Jan17)	In line with NFF	Options			Comments
				Model A	Model B	No Option Selected	
1	Albrighton Primary School	200	1				
2	Barrow Primary School	84	1				
3	Baschurch Primary School	166	1				
4	Bishop Hooper Primary	86	1				
5	Bishops Castle Primary Sch	133	1				
6	Bomere Heath Primary	118	1				
7	Brown Clee Primary School	95	1				
8	Buntingsdale Primary Schod	73	1				
9	Claverley Primary School	117	1				
10	Clive Primary School	75	1				This is subject to Shropshire Council campaigning to have the annual 'cap' lifted for all schools with less than 100 pupils on roll for 2019-20
11	Clunbury Primary School	45	1				Although the governing body of The Blue Hills Federation has responded yes, there are some areas of concern that we would like the Local Authority to be aware of and take into consideration. They are as follows: 1. The amount of time taken for small rural schools that are struggling the most to meet ever greating financial pressures. We would urge the Local Authority to try to find a solution to ensure that these schools receive the full amount at a similar time to those other larger schools. 2. There are a large percentage of schools carrying an in-year deficit as stated by Gwyneth Evans at the Funding Meeting on October 11th. Again the implementation of the funding formula at a sooner point may well relieve those schools, particularly small schools, from surviving on dwindling reserves. 3. The vast majority of the schools that will not receive the NFF (fully implemented) until 2020-21 at the earliest (it was intimated by Gwyneth that some schools may not even receive it by then) are the small rural schools and many of them are in the south of the county. This has a massive implication on the education for children in that area, as if some of the schools are not going to survive the next few years, there will be massive transport costs and risks of transporting children to the next school; the welfare of the children may well suffer from extra time travelling; the impact on the local areas will be significant and over all, and most importantly, we believe that the well-being of children, their social groups and friendships may be greatly affected. It appears that the Local Authority policy, as shown by the way they are implementing this formula, is not to support education provided in small rural schools.  This is the second response to this consultation from The Blue Hills Federation following the further information sent to the schools on 24.10.17. Please could this be attached to the first response which was sent 20.10.17. With regards to the two models sent through to further consider, it is obvious that model A is completely out of the question for any small schools in the county as they will suffer further (due to small numbers at their schools) and these are the schools that cannot afford to see any further cuts to their budgets. This is a model only suitable for the larger primary schools and one that should not be implemented if we as a county are to consider all the children in all areas and not just those who live in highly populated urban areas and attend large primary schools. Model B is far fairer for all schools as there is an even amount given to each and if we had to choose from one of these further options, that is the one we would support. However, if the county is considering this option, it was felt that we should just move immediately to the NFF rather than phase it in over a number of years.
12	Newcastle Primary School	26	1				
13	St Mary's Primary, Bucknell	47	1				
14	Cockshutt Primary School	73	1				
15	Coleham Primary School	414	1				
16	Criftins Primary School	97	1				
17	Greenfields Primary School	345		1			Following the consultation question raised re model for distribution of notional additional funding our opinion would be: Model A where the additional funding is allocated by increasing the basic per pupil element is the only fair option and should therefore be the process undertaken. Each pupil is bringing a small percentage of the funding, seems the only option as far as we would be concerned. Model B would appear to increase the percentage of the notional funding unfairly as fewer pupils are receiving an identical amount of money to the many larger schools.
18	Harlescote Junior	353	1				
19	Hinstock Primary	103	1				
20	John Wilkinson Primary Sch	180	1				
21	Kinnerley Primary School	77	1				
22	Long Mountain Primary Sch	87	1				
23	Ludlow Junior School	244	1				
24	Market Drayton Infant	265				1	Can't answer at moment would like to see an alternative. Last year we talked about 65% of our children being in schools that are worse off under NFF. Whilst no schools are worse off larger schools only get approx 1% increase overall. Why would we want to push our children through a funding formula we deemed unfair a year ago? Whilst we have to move to it in the end why rush towards it. However understand the pressure to move towards it.
25	Market Drayton Junior	353		1			The new NFF places our school at a disadvantage with a 1% increase that in real terms is far below inflation and rising running costs (for example, the recent 2% pay rise). The figures given out last night show that in 2020 a pupil in a tiny village school will benefit from over £11,000 of funding compared to a pupil in Market Drayton who will attract less than £4000. In the next two years there is funding available to compensate for this that is being directed into smaller, and in some cases unviable, schools. Whatever happens in two years I do not support placing two additional year groups at a financial (and hence staffing) disadvantage to phase in a budget drop. If we are heading towards a cliff I see no advantage in accelerating towards it. My alternative arrangements would be to use the additional funding the government is providing over the next two years to increase basic per pupil funding and hold the lump sum per school allowance at existing levels. The additional funding would then allow the schools who host the majority of Shropshire pupils to accumulate enough funds to deal with the coming staffing issues over a longer period of time. I'd also like to echo one of the views expressed last night that given the huge disparity in funding when you look at it on a per pupil basis as stated above we shouldn't have to pay £3500 for our adviser when a smaller school is only paying £170. I'd like to see some sort of minimum lump sum built into top slice formulas.
26	Meadows Primary school	278	1				
27	Minsterley Primary School	142	1				
28	Norton in Hales Primary	81	1				
29	Oxon Primary school	417	1				
30	Radbrook Primary	318		1			03.11 - Rang to change answer to No and wants Model A
31	Rushbury Primary School	51	1				
32	Shifnal Primary School	261	1				
33	St Andrew's Primary, Shifna	289	1				
34	St George's Junior School	352	1				
35	St Giles CE Primary School	316	1				
36	St John the Baptist Primary	103	1				
37	St John's Bridgnorth	216			1		The extra funding appears to be biased towards smaller schools in the NFF. The Shropshire Formula has always had the interests of our smaller school in their considerations. Our Governors met yesterday 6 November and were unanimous in their opinion that the Shropshire Formula should be used. Their preference would be for the Lump Sum element to be used which still looks after our smaller schools, but provides others with a reasonable amount of money. We are aware of the possible consequences in 2019-2020.
38	St Lawrence Primary, CS	274	1				
39	St Mary's Shawbury	175	1				
40	Stoke on Tern Primary	111			1		In light of the additional modelling information in connection with the NFF, the Governors and headteacher have agreed that they want to vote for Model B
41	Stottesdon Primary School	94	1				
42	Sundorne Infant	270	1				
43	Trinity Primary School	147	1				
44	Weston Rhyn Primary Schod	137	1				
45	Whitchurch Infants	258	1				
46	Woore Primary School	59	1				
47	Belvidere School	817	1				
48	Community College	423	1				
49	Corbet School	673	1				
50	Grove School	899	1				
51	Idsall School	1309	1				
52	Lakelands School	519				1	Abstaining as a result of insufficient information to make a decision. FS&GP Governors Committee Meeting 20.310.2017
53	Ludlow CE School	630	1				
54	Meole Brace School	1215	1				
55	Priory School	844	1				
56	Thomas Adams School	1282	1				
57	Shrewsbury Academy	834	1				
58	Grange Primary	248	1				
59	Longlands Primary	192	1				
60	Beckbury	55	1				
61	Worfield	194	1				
62	St Mary's Bluecoat	133	1				
	Total	18472	55	3	2	2	

Breakdown by number of pupils 16345 1016 327 784

**This page is intentionally left blank**